



Huseby.com

Introducing Intelligent Summary+®

Not all summaries are created equal.

Intelligent Summary+® utilizes patent-pending technology to produce industry-leading deposition summaries delivered alongside the full transcript. Highlights include:

- **Page/Line Summary with 100% Accurate Page/Line Citations:** The most detailed document, comprehensive of all information from beginning to end, in chronological order. It includes a table of contents and a narrative-form conversion of the dialogue with 100% accurate page/line citations.
- **Key Admissions Extraction:** Key admissions are particularly useful for getting a quick yet thorough understanding of the key takeaways from the deposition based on facts alleged in the complaint. Includes page/line citations.
- **Deposition Memos (Thematic Summary):** Offers a succinct and focused summary of the most important themes discussed throughout, irrelevant of chronology, separating central points from less pertinent information found in the 'miscellaneous/other' section.
- **Hyperlinked to the Original Transcript:** Facilitate human review with easy navigation links back and forth between the summary sections and the source dialogue.





Not All Summaries Are Created Equal

The deposition abstract is a brief overview of the entire deposition.

Robert Jacobson Deposition Summary

Scarlett Lewis vs. Alex E. Jones, Infowars, LLC, And Free Speech Systems, LLC

DEPOSITION ABSTRACT

Robert Jacobson, a former employee of InfoWars, provided a detailed account of his experiences and observations during a deposition related to a dispute involving InfoWars' coverage of the Sandy Hook incident. Jacobson, who was hired by Alex Jones in 2004 and worked for the company until 2017, discussed the internal dynamics at InfoWars, including the shift in journalistic practices and the company's growth from a small operation to a larger media entity with over 60 staff members. He expressed discomfort with the company's coverage of Sandy Hook, particularly the promotion of conspiracy theories that suggested the event was staged and involved "crisis actors." Jacobson criticized the lack of responsible journalism and fact-checking in the coverage, highlighting his attempts to address these issues internally, which were met with laughter and dismissal by his colleagues.

Jacobson also touched on his technical expertise in video technology, discussing a specific allegation related to a video clip of Anderson Cooper, which conspiracy theorists claimed was a green screen composite. He refuted this claim based on his professional experience, suggesting that the video's anomalies could be attributed to other factors, such as YouTube's compression artifacts.

Furthermore, Jacobson revealed his personal grievances with InfoWars, including filing a complaint with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) against Alex Jones for abusive, unethical, and racist behavior. Despite his criticisms and the personal fallout with InfoWars, Jacobson maintained that his testimony was truthful and aimed to highlight the irresponsible actions of InfoWars in relation to the Sandy Hook coverage. He emphasized the importance of adhering to journalistic standards and expressed regret over his involvement in the coverage, which he believed contributed to the harassment of Sandy Hook parents by conspiracy theorists.

KEY ADMISSIONS

Dispute over Witness Questioning.

- During a deposition, there was a dispute between Mr. Enoch and Mr. Bankston about whether Mr. Enoch had the right to question the witness, Robert Jacobson, who was under a non-disclosure and confidentiality agreement, and the dialogue ended without Jacobson answering any questions. (Robert Jacobson Depo. [4:16 - 21:18](#))

Compliance with Non-Disclosure Agreement

- Robert Jacobson confirms his adherence to confidentiality non-disclosure agreements with his former employer, but believes exposing abusive behavior within the company does not violate the agreement and intends to do so. (Robert Jacobson Depo. [23:17 - 24:16](#))

Attraction to InfoWars' fringe honesty

- Robert Jacobson joined InfoWars to work on stories related to the occult, esoteric politics, and undisclosed activities of politicians, perceiving it as a fringe media outlet that was off the mainstream yet honest. (Robert Jacobson Depo. [25:13 - 25:23](#))

Jacobson's commitment to ethical journalism at InfoWars.

- Robert Jacobson stated that he joined InfoWars with the intention of doing good journalism. (Robert Jacobson Depo. [26:8 - 26:21](#))

Scarlett Lewis vs. Alex E. Jones, Infowars, LLC, And Free Speech Systems, LLC

Key admissions are 1-5 pages of crucial admissions extracted using facts alleged in the complaint.

Not All Summaries Are Created Equal





Hyperlinked table of contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

4:13 - 4:15	Robert Jacobson Introduces Himself During a Deposition
4:16 - 21:18	Dispute Over Right to Question Witness Due to Lack of Subpoena and Confidentiality Agreements; Deposition Suspended
21:19 - 22:21	Robert Jacobson Testified About His Employment at Infowars from 2004 to 2017, Hired by Alex Jones, for Free Speech Systems, LLC
22:22 - 23:5	Jacobson Had No Initial Confidentiality Agreement at Infowars, Received One Years Later Under Implied Ultimatum, Risking Livelihood
23:6 - 52:1	Robert Jacobson Testifies on His Understanding and Adherence to Nondisclosure Agreements, Discusses Journalistic Ethics at Infowars, and Addresses Allegations Related to Sandy Hook Coverage

EXAMINATION

Robert Jacobson Introduces Himself During a Deposition

Topic	Summary
Introduction by Jacobson	Robert Jacobson introduced himself. (4:13 - 4:15)

Dispute Over Right to Question Witness Due to Lack of Subpoena and Confidentiality Agreements; Deposition Suspended

Topic	Summary
Dispute over questioning rights	Robert Jacobson was questioned during a deposition. There was a dispute between Mr. Enoch and Mr. Bankston about whether Mr. Enoch had the right to ask questions. Mr. Enoch attempted to ask if Jacobson had been served with a subpoena, but Mr. Bankston insisted that Enoch had no authority to question the witness. Mr. Enoch argued that Jacobson was under a non-disclosure agreement and a confidentiality agreement, and without a subpoena, he could not testify. Mr. Bankston countered that he had served a Notice of Deposition, and that Enoch had already informed Jacobson of his confidentiality obligations. The dialogue ended without Jacobson answering any questions. (4:16 - 21:18)

Robert Jacobson Testified About His Employment at Infowars from 2004 to 2017, Hired by Alex Jones, for Free Speech Systems, LLC

Topic	Summary
Identity confirmation	Robert Jacobson confirmed his identity. (21:19 - 21:25)
Jacobson's InfoWars employment	Robert Jacobson confirmed that he used to work at InfoWars. (22:1 - 22:2)
Hired by Jones in 2004	Robert Jacobson was hired by Alex Jones in 2004. He believed he was hired by Jones as an independent proprietor. (22:3 - 22:9)
Former employer claims	Robert Jacobson confirmed that his former employer claims he worked for Free Speech Systems, LLC. (22:10 - 22:14)
InfoWars employment end	Robert Jacobson's employment ended on May 1st, 2017, after approximately 13 years at InfoWars. (22:15 - 22:21)

The page/line summary is a detailed overview of the entire transcript, organized by topic and 1/5 - 1/10 the length of the original.

Not All Summaries Are Created Equal





Accurate, precise and dynamic page/line citations hyperlinked to the original dialogue for easy reference and checking.

We print the source dialogue for easy reference, complete with hyperlinks, facilitating swift navigation back to the summary.

EXAMINATION

Robert Jacobson Introduces Himself During a Deposition

Topic	Summary
Introduction by Jacobson	Robert Jacobson introduced himself. (4:13 - 4:15)

Dispute Over Right to Question Witness Due to Lack of Subpoena and Confidentiality Agreements; Deposition Suspended

Topic	Summary
Dispute over questioning rights	Robert Jacobson was questioned during a deposition. There was a dispute between Mr. Enoch and Mr. Bankston about whether Mr. Enoch had the right to ask questions. Mr. Enoch attempted to ask if Jacobson had been served with a subpoena, but Mr. Bankston insisted that Enoch had no authority to question the witness. Mr. Enoch argued that Jacobson was under a non-disclosure agreement and a confidentiality agreement, and without a subpoena, he could not testify. Mr. Bankston countered that he had served a Notice of Deposition, and that Enoch had already informed Jacobson of his confidentiality obligations. The dialogue ended without Jacobson answering any questions. (4:16 - 21:18)

Transcript

Citation	Question / Answer
4:13 - 4:15	Q Good afternoon, Mr. Jacobson. Can you introduce yourself for our record? A I am Robert Jacobson.
4:16 - 21:18	Summary Q Okay. MR. ENOCH: Mark, I'd like to ask a couple of questions and make a comment real quickly. MR. BANKSTON: I don't think you've been given any orders from the Court to do any discovery. So, no, Mr. Enoch, you're not asking this witness any questions. MR. ENOCH: Mr. Jacobson -- MR. BANKSTON: Mr. Enoch -- MR. ENOCH: -- were you served with a subpoena? MR. BANKSTON: Mr. Enoch, please point me to the order in which you've been allowed to do any discovery or take any questions of any witness. Point me to it, Mr. Enoch. MR. ENOCH: Please do not -- MR. BANKSTON: Right now, point me to it. MR. ENOCH: Please do not interrupt. MR. BANKSTON: Then you're going to -- Mr. Enoch, stop talking to the witness. MR. ENOCH: Mr. Jacobson -- MR. BANKSTON: Mr. Enoch, this deposition will be suspended; and I will seek sanctions if you speak one more time to this witness. MR. ENOCH: Mr. Jacobson, have you been served with a deposition subpoena? MR. BANKSTON: Mr. Enoch, we're going off the record. We're done. The deposition's done. MR. ENOCH: We are not going off the record. MR. BANKSTON: The deposition is suspended. MR. ENOCH: We are not going off the record. MR. BANKSTON: You have no ability to take any

Not All Summaries Are Created Equal





Each summary includes a document called the Deposition Memo.

This memo organizes the summary thematically around key legal and factual issues.

Precise and dynamic page/line citations hyperlinked to the source transcript dialogue for easy reference and checking.

Robert Jacobson Deposition Memo

Scarlett Lewis vs. Alex E. Jones, Infowars, LLC, And Free Speech Systems, LLC

TABLE OF CONTENTS

[Robert Jacobson's Employment At Infowars From 2004 To 2017 And His Exposure To The Company's Coverage Of Sandy Hook](#)

[Discussions About The Use Of "Crisis Actors" In The Sandy Hook Coverage And Allegations Made By Infowars](#)

[Jacobson's Observations And Opinions On The Irresponsible Behavior Of Infowars Staff Regarding Sandy Hook Coverage](#)

[Employment Discrimination And Workplace Harassment, As Indicated By Jacobson's EEOC Complaint](#)

[Potential Defamation And The Spreading Of False Information Related To The Sandy Hook Incident](#)

[Economic Implications For Jacobson If The Sandy Hook Parents Are Awarded A Significant Amount Of Money, Potentially Affecting His Own Compensation From The EEOC Ruling](#)

[Dispute Over The Right To Question The Witness During The Deposition](#)

[Jacobson's Comfort And Legal Standing To Appear For The Deposition Without A Court Order](#)

[The Handling Of Exhibit 2 And Exhibit 3 During The Deposition, Including Discussions On Video Technology And The Use Of Green Screens](#)

Robert Jacobson'S Employment At Infowars From 2004 To 2017 And His Exposure To The Company'S Coverage Of Sandy Hook

Jacobson Believes Ethical Journalism Is Good; Feels Guilty About Infowars' Sandy Hook Coverage

- **Media's impact and journalistic standards:** Robert Jacobson believes that media, including alternative media, can be a force for good if it adheres to established journalistic standards. However, if these standards are not followed, it can lead to confusion and negativity. He asserts that there should always be a professional standard of journalism, and independent journalism should be held to this standard. [\(70:22 - 71:15\)](#)
- **Uncertainty on Journalism Professionalism:** Robert Jacobson expressed uncertainty and refrained from commenting on his exposure to events related to professionalism in journalism, particularly in the context of Sandy Hook. [\(71:16 - 72:1\)](#)
- **InfoWars coverage regret:** Robert Jacobson expressed guilt over his involvement in the Sandy Hook coverage at InfoWars, where he worked. He regretted not acting faster, considering he could have quit or caught the story sooner. [\(72:2 - 72:12\)](#)

	A I don't really have a comment on that. I'm not really sure. Summary
72:2 - 72:12	Q (BY MR. BANKSTON) Okay. Do you today have any sense of guilt about the coverage about Sandy Hook that came out of InfoWars? MR. ENOCH: Objection to form, leading. A Yes. As I mentioned in my statements previously, the reason why I'm here is because of a tremendous amount of guilt that I didn't act faster. Maybe I should have quit. Maybe I could have caught the story faster or been better at explaining; but, yes, I do. MR. ENOCH: Objection, nonresponsive. Summary
72:13 - 72:17	Q (BY MR. BANKSTON) Are you still on friendly terms with InfoWars? A No. Q Were you terminated? A Yes. Summary

Not All Summaries Are Created Equal

